

Lobar, Bryan

From: Denning, Doug <DDenning@nas.edu>
Sent: Friday, October 09, 2015 11:43 AM
To: Lobar, Bryan
Cc: Yates, William
Subject: FW: New Task Order 10 (formerly 11) for NAS

Bryan:

Staff here provided the additional information below regarding your concerns. Let me know what you think.

Doug

From: Friedman, Douglas
Sent: Friday, October 09, 2015 7:48 AM
To: Denning, Doug
Cc: Sharples, Fran
Subject: Re: New Task Order 10 (formerly 11) for NAS

The main workshop and data gathering meetings will be in DC. We may want to have one off site meeting (possibly SF Bay Area) to bring us closer to experts without having to pay for a lot of additional speaker travel or risk availability. We could easily agree that any out of DC data gathering would live webcast or available via webex.

We will likely have a writing meeting in Irvine (or Woods Hole) which would be closed, so would not effect government participation.

From: Lobar, Bryan [<mailto:Lobar.Bryan@epa.gov>]
Sent: Thursday, October 08, 2015 4:30 PM
To: Denning, Doug
Cc: Yates, William
Subject: RE: New Task Order 10 (formerly 11) for NAS

Doug:

Thanks for the proposed edits and explanation of FACA issues.

I'd like to confer with you regarding the meeting location. The other proposed edits are acceptable.

We can be flexible on location of the meetings to the extent that: explicit stipulation of location may appear to be "management or control [of the committee by EPA]" under FACA Section 15; or if use of another location(s) would substantively improve the final deliverable, due to availability of experts, etc.

Our preference for the meetings to occur in or around DC stems from the desire for the meetings to be accessible by staff from the OSTP, EPA, FDA, and USDA staff involved with the biotech regulation and review who live in the DC area. This accessibility issue may be more important if as indicated by your other edits some materials and notes from those meetings will not be available after the meetings, but might be ameliorated through use of some teleconferencing solutions.

Would you be available next Tuesday to discuss potential solutions resolve these potentially conflicting considerations? I think we could resolve this issue with a quick conference call.

Regards,
Bryan

Bryan Lobar
Planning and Assessment
Pollution Prevention and Toxics
[REDACTED]

From: Denning, Doug [<mailto:DDenning@nas.edu>]
Sent: Thursday, October 08, 2015 7:16 AM
To: Yates, William
Cc: Clarke, Robin; Lobar, Bryan
Subject: New Task Order 10 (formerly 11) for NAS

William:

The NAS program staff are preparing their proposal. There were terms in the statement of work that conflict with the NAS statutory exemption from FACA found in Section 15 of that act, our study process and procedures. Therefore, please see the attached marked up SOW that we would request substitute for that provided with the RFP.

Doug

From: Yates, William [<mailto:Yates.William@epa.gov>]
Sent: Thursday, October 01, 2015 3:22 PM
To: Denning, Doug
Cc: Clarke, Robin; Lobar, Bryan
Subject: RE: New Task Order 11 for NAS

Good afternoon Doug,

Please find attached the PWS and the cover letter requesting a proposal for a new task order. This requirement will be entitled ***"Future Biotechnology Products and Opportunities to Enhance Capabilities of the Biotechnology Regulatory System."*** The proposals are due November 2, 2015. Please cc Robin Clarke and Bryan Lobar on your submission. Should you have technical questions, please provide them to me so that I may provide you answers to facilitate your submissions. Have a great evening.

William M Yates
Contracting Officer
Cincinnati Procurement Operations Division
[REDACTED]